

# GLOBAL PRAYERS

Contemporary Manifestations of the Religious in the City

metroZones 13

Edited by Jochen Becker, Katrin Klingan, Stephan Lanz, Kathrin Wildner

A book by metroZones, Haus der Kulturen der Welt, and Europa-Universität Viadrina

Lars Müller Publishers



# Global Prayers, Migration, Post-migration

WERNER SCHIFFAUER

In this essay, I intend to offer an explanation for the phenomenon of the return of the religious in the world's urban centers. My aim here is to overcome a perspective which primarily views this phenomenon as a defensive strategy protecting traditional ways of life which provide a home for those who have lost out in modernization processes. Instead, I shall argue that this phenomenon represents an initially excluded group's proactive—and often extremely successful—appropriation of a particular lifeworld determined by neoliberal laws. I shall also seek to show that the project has its own intellectual dignity, which one need not share as secular social scientists (and I would include myself among them)—yet one whose logic can nonetheless be appreciated. In that sense, this attempt to understand a viewpoint I do not share is, at the same time, a plea for a post-secular perspective that retains the right of (religious as well as secular) life designs to be respected, without appropriating them.

## THE RETURN OF THE RELIGIOUS

The return of the religious in late modernity, already described numerous times (see for example Kepel 1991; Graf 2004), provided the starting point for the *Global Prayers* project. This led to three observations determining the project's focus.

First: the return of the religious is an *urban* phenomenon. It has occurred most obviously and extensively from the 1970s in Third World megacities, but is also evident in other large cities. This is a surprising development since well into the 1970s large cities in particular were regarded as citadels of secular culture.

Second: this is a phenomenon found across religions—though for political reasons, at least over the recent years, far too little attention has been given to this fact. After 9/11, the emphasis was on the particular nature of Islam, and similarities with Christian and Jewish movements became minimalized. Islam and Islamism were stylized as the ultimate “Other”—and that excluded any comparison. Here, the aim is to re-forge a link with research from the 1980s and '90s, yet at the same time transcend it. In those days, common features were

subsumed under the notion of “fundamentalism,” which set a clear framework for interpretation: this was anti-modernism, usually supported by the losers in modernization processes (for a summary of this view, see Marty and Appleby 1996; Castells 1997).<sup>1</sup> Here, the characteristic theories for the time were, for example, Riesebrodt’s claim (1990) that fundamentalist movements were patriarchal protests by men unable to cope with modernization processes, a notion now completely outdated, or Castells’ definition (1997) of fundamentalisms as identities for resistance—in other words, encapsulating defensive movements in contrast to those project identities taking up the challenges of network societies in a proactive and emancipatory manner. Among other things, the *Global Prayers* project started from the belief that it was necessary to overcome this restricted perspective, laden with numerous contradictions, and shed new light on the commonalities. For example, it was clear that (contradicting Riesebrodt) the new movements were mainly supported by women, tended to have the character of proactive project identities (contradicting Castells) and, at least since the 2000s, have included many adherents who are winners in the modernization processes.

The third noticeable point was that this religious renewal was *not* supported precisely by mainstream religious communities. Instead, they tended to be surprised and overtaken by this development. The key supporters of this new religious consciousness were, rather, previously more marginal and non-conformist religious actors (joined by some new groups): Evangelicals, Pentecostals, Islamists and post-Islamists. Remarkably, despite their differences, these groups share more similarities with each other than with the established groups of their respective religions—even in terms of their forms of religious expression and its public performance. This similarity remained unnoticed in research into fundamentalism in the 1990s, since this focused on the stated objective of the religious communities to return to the fundamentals—and so overlooked possible major parallels resulting from the shared critique of the conditions of late modernity.

In brief, since the 1970s, we have observed a dual power shift in nearly all the world’s megacities, namely between the *secular* and *sacral* and between mainstream and non-conformist religiosity. Quite in the spirit of Weber’s sociology of religion, the key to this shift can be found by examining the social carriers of this new religiosity, namely, the new urban residents flooding into the cities during the phase of explosive urban growth in the 1980s and ’90s.

#### SOCIAL CARRIERS: THE NEW URBAN MIGRANTS

The explosive urban growth in cities occurred after the epochal break initiated by the so-called oil crisis in 1973. According to Manuel Castells (1996), who I follow here, this economic crisis marked the end of the postwar boom and triggered a global restructuring of the capitalist mode of production. This restructuring can be characterized as increasing production by radicalizing market mechanisms and transferring this to numerous other fields which, as in the sphere of the state’s actions in particular, had previously complied with a different, uncontested logic. In this context, two factors primarily led to urban growth.

First, protecting national markets by tariff barriers fell victim to the deregulation of the financial and goods markets. Key industries previously placed under state protection for reasons of national security (as a rule, heavy manufacturing and energy) were opened up to competition—and this applied equally to those traditional businesses and agricultural enterprises which had survived until then in the shadow of the state’s protectionist policies. The markets were flooded with products from the Global North, and later from new global players, especially from South East and East Asia. This reorganization of the global economy brought a significant displacement of labor in its wake. Moreover, the 1973 crisis showed that the classic state tasks had reached the limits of fundability. While in the Global North, this was primarily seen in terms of financing the social state, the Global South related it first and foremost to the state’s responsibility to budget for economic development. State-owned companies, often structured by political considerations (i.e., nationwide development plans), were privatized. The public-sector logic associating rationality with equality was replaced by a market logic equating rationality with efficiency. The free play of market forces took the place of state-development policies intended to ensure progress for a country in its entirety. Together with the movement of migrants through labor displacement in rural areas, this led to the unchecked growth of metropolises, with the creation of such megacities as Istanbul, Lagos, Rio de Janeiro, Mexico City, Mumbai—all cities with populations growing many times over in the 1980s and ’90s.<sup>2</sup> Istanbul expanded from 2.1 million in 1970 to 13.1 million in 2010; Lagos from 1.2 million in 1971 to 8 million in 2006; and Rio de Janeiro from 4.5 million in 1970 to at least 11.7 million in 2007. With the influx from the countryside, urban culture changed, resulting in a push to sacralization. Here, Istanbul can be taken as a particularly clear example. Until the 1970s, in common with all large Turkish cities, Istanbul was a bastion of Kemalist culture. This did not mean religion had disappeared—rather that the lay-culture had firmly assigned it a place in private life. Public culture was secular. While the large city was associated with the project of nation, modernity, and progress, the lowlands were regarded as Islamic, pre-modern, and reactionary. In an acculturation process, urban migrants adopted the secular culture within one generation (Karpas 1976; Schiffauer 2010); urban and cosmopolitan were simply synonyms. The 1970s saw the first appearance of neo-religious movements in a secular environment when, as part of the student protest movement, Muslim students organized themselves into independent groups separate from those supporting a nationalist or communist agenda. After the state of emergency was lifted, the movement of female students wearing headscarves marked a new Islamist presence. In contrast to the students’ political movement, the objective here was a transformation of civil society, the conquest of the modern city—in brief, a reshaping of urban culture (Göle 1995). This aim was supported by the flanking measure of social engagement in the *gecekondu*. Raising the social question, in particular, was a new element both in Islamist and Islamic culture as a whole. It was these activities in the *gecekondu* that resulted in the rise of the Milli Görüş movement in the

2 There is a strong argument for locating the decisive epochal break in late modernity in 1973 and not in 1989. The reorganization of the capitalist economy proved to be extremely efficient. As a result of this reorganization, the gap widened between the socialist block, unable to reproduce these structural changes since they would have entailed its own dissolution, and the capitalist world. What followed was the collapse of socialism in 1989. It took place undramatically because the elites knew that it was a simple case of bankruptcy.

1 See *pars pro toto* Marty and Appleby’s definition, which provided the basis for the massive American Arts and Sciences Fundamentalism project. “Fundamentalism is, in other words, a religious way of being that manifests itself as a strategy by which beleaguered believers attempt to preserve their distinctive identity as a people or group ...” (Marty and Appleby 1996: 45). See Schiffauer (1995) for a critique.

1990s and 2000s.<sup>3</sup> It is no coincidence that the new generation of neo-Islamic politicians initially became mayors of urban districts before they took over the national government. How can this change be explained? What is the reason for the fading appeal of secular culture? In contrast to earlier waves of urban migrants, why did the new migrants in the 1990s and 2000s become religious? One explanation frequently advanced is that they did not become religious but simply *remained* religious, bringing their beliefs into the city. Given the vast number of new migrants, it was no longer possible to integrate them into secular culture.

However, this explanation is too mechanical. Above all, it does not address the fact that the nature of urban prayers differed totally from religion in rural areas. Rather, the new religious providers formulated a set of beliefs that integrated and reflected the existential situation of the new urban classes. So what was different about these new migrants?

To start with, we need to note that post-1973 migration was triggered by many more *push* factors than the *pull* factors characterizing earlier waves of labor migration (Massey 1998: 14). By and large, the booming postwar economy was dominated by a shortage of labor. In the international arena, this shortage had led to recruitment agreements such as, for instance, the agreement between West Germany and Turkey. But urban migrants could also reckon on finding a job relatively quickly in their domestic market as well. In brief, both the national and international migrants moved into labor economies. They occupied the lowest position in the regular job market, hence facilitating the social advancement of earlier migrants. The dirtiest and most dangerous jobs were passed on to the new migrants. Yet although they were the lowest of the low, they were still integrated into the system—and the classic labor organizations, such as unions and political parties, also took up their interests. And even if they could not imagine a better future for themselves, they could imagine it for their children.

In contrast, since the 1973 economic crisis, push factors have dominated. Many more people lost their jobs in rural areas than could be integrated into the economic system. In the rapidly growing megacities, rural migrants initially found somewhere to stay in the slums, *bidonvilles*, *favelas* or *gecekondu*.<sup>4</sup> In contrast to the previous waves of migrants, urban migrants now found work on the informal labor market with its characteristic precarious employment relations. In this volume, AbdouMaliq Simone has impressively described just what this meant for the situation in Third World megacities (above all, for young people). He describes the situation of a liminal economy—an economy not integrated into the regular labor market, yet, at the same time, dependent on it. It is an erratic, unpredictable space where new possibilities are always being created, but these can neither be anticipated nor systematically planned. The dominant form of action in such spaces is short-term and tactical, rather than strategic (de Certeau 1988), the art of *bricolage*, making do with whatever comes to hand, rather than the art of the systematic engineer (in contrast, see Lévi-Strauss 1968), the figure so epitomizing modernism.

<sup>3</sup> The *Milli Görüş* (National Vision) movement was founded in the late sixties in Turkey by Neomettin Erbakan. While it was basically a movement of provincial notables during the seventies it re-invented itself after the state of exception (1983). It adopted a social gospel and started to mobilize in the major cities. The growing tension between "reformers" and "traditionalists" led to a break up of the movement in 2002 between the followers of Erdoğan and those of Erbakan.

<sup>4</sup> All referring to a shack or shantytown: in francophone countries *bidonvilles* ("can town"); *favelas* in Brazil (from Portuguese); and in Turkey *gecekondu* ("house put up quickly").

*"Urban residents no matter what their background for the most part wanted a version of the good life and they were willing to make a lot of sacrifices to try and get it. But all the effort urban majorities across the Global South made to disentangle themselves from kin and neighborhood ties and obligations and to turn themselves into enterprising individuals in order to give them a shot at this good life often only produced a sense that, damn, this is nowhere and that it is too late to do anything about it."* (Simone 2013: 4)

Migration in the cities of the Global North underwent an analogous structural change. Admittedly, though, the regular economy continued to dominate here—while in the Global South, the informal economy was prevalent from the 1980s to the 2000s. To begin with, a large proportion of the migrants recruited in the 1960s and '70s were dismissed, and became jobless—which increasingly led them to be viewed as a burden on the social system (Massey and Arango 1998). In addition, through a recruitment stop, the economies of the North protected themselves from the consequences of the neoliberal restructuring (from which they significantly profited). Legal migration was only allowed in cases of marriage, family reunification, or for reasons granting refugee status. For this reason, even in the North, immigration was primarily migration into a precarious labor market. As elaborated in the segmented labor market theory, this secondary labor market played a considerable role in some sections of the economy (agriculture, construction, cleaning, etc.). In contrast to the capital-intensive primary labor market with its highly qualified workers who need to be retained as they are not easy to replace, the secondary labor market is dominated by unstable and less skilled jobs. These are often taken by new immigrants prepared to work for exploitative wages (also due, above all, to the international discrepancy of the earnings gap).<sup>5</sup> According to Piore (1979), this results in an interdependency between the readiness of new groups of migrants to work in this low-status, low-wage sector and, at the same time, the segment being kept alive by new migrant groups (and the low-status groups have a low status precisely because they are occupied by migrant workers).

In particular thanks to this split labor market, the economies of the North still had definite pull factors even in the economic crisis. However, the new labor from the South was primarily absorbed in the secondary space of a liminal economy—the "shadow" economy. In this context, the growth of border controls across Europe under the Schengen Agreement and in the United States with the border fence project between the United States and Mexico had an oddly regulative function. Migration was not prevented, just made significantly more difficult. The border remained porous (Tsianos and Hess 2009). Its function was far less about prevention and far more about delaying illegal entry, and making it more costly and dangerous. This led to a functionality described by Massey as follows:

*"In some way the state of affairs is highly functional and even adaptive: labor demand is met by undocumented migrants, 'temporary' workers, and*

<sup>5</sup> Here, too, see Felix Hoffmann's analysis of the situation in Almeria (2010). Until the 2008 economic crisis, the region lived from illegal migration. The low wages created a competitive advantage.

*legal immigrants able to overcome the barriers, keeping employers happy; yet the government is not perceived as encouraging or promoting immigration thus avoiding a political backlash.” (Massey and Arango 1998: 14)<sup>6</sup>*

In other words, a split labor market with its own low-wage sector ensures that illegal immigrants can reckon with a considerable chance of finding work (primarily in agriculture and construction, as well as the domestic-help segment). Conversely, a porous border supports the continued existence of a low-wage sector. Legal marginalization in particular facilitates extremely exploitative working conditions. Structurally, the world of illegal immigrants that Felix Hoffmann (2010) described in Almeria is similar to Simone’s description of the urban centers in the South. This is a world in which tactical action is central. Hoffmann describes the longing—initially linked to the desire to get a passport—as a longing for a fixed location as a basis to first enable the possibility of strategic planned action. To summarize: while labor migrants in the postwar period faced exploitation, migrants since the 1970s see themselves first and foremost as facing exclusion. When radical global changes led to job losses in rural economies, these unemployed workers then found increasingly borders and barriers cutting them off from both national and international primary labor markets. Admittedly, it should be noted that the binary structure suggested by exclusion theorists—with an included, welcomed population on the one hand, and an excluded, superfluous population on the other—is unsustainable as it stands. Instead, the border from inside and outside produces a number of nuances and overlaps. The side of the included also covers those groups in the condition of precarity who are threatened by exclusion; the side of the excluded also comprises those who have acquired rights to social welfare and are still receiving benefits, and who are in a fundamentally different position from those with no rights to welfare payments. However, it should be noted that the difference between inside and outside which is, in many respects, more important, overlays the top and bottom distinction. In many diverse areas, new migrants see themselves facing borders blocking their access to equal chances and equitable opportunities to prove their abilities.

It is crucial to understand that, for the Global South, the legitimacy of such boundaries (almost unquestioned in the Global North) is more than doubtful. First, there is a distinct feeling of the asynchrony of opening borders for financial and goods markets under the banner of deregulation, with all the far-reaching consequences this has for livelihoods, and at the same time largely sealing borders to those who bore the brunt of those consequences. Here, it undoubtedly also plays a role that the drawing of borders was tangible in a new way, particularly in view of the cross-border mediascapes and idioscapes (Appadurai 1991) (one could add consumerscapes as well) etc. developing with globalization. The closer the world moved together, the more intensely the barriers and constraints on personal mobility were felt. The North was increasingly seen as tightening its borders to defend a prosperity acquired at the cost of the South.

<sup>6</sup> See also Heyman’s analysis of the way the United States border police operate (1995), as well as Kearney’s (2004).

#### THE COLLAPSE OF THE IDEA OF PROGRESS

The above, then, summarizes the material background to the return of the religious. Its social carriers were the new urban masses, excluded from the regular primary economy and dependent on a precarious labor market. It is, though, of crucial importance that parallel to this development, neoliberalism also introduced a *Wende* (turning point) in the history of thought naturalizing an opening to the religious. With this turnabout, the belief in progress collapsed which underlay the *intra-societal* hope of salvation—as did the hope of a political solution to the problems.

In retrospect, the 1950s and ’60s appear characterized by what today seems to be an almost unbelievable faith in progress, with progress understood empathetically as overcoming hunger, poverty, and hardship and, in positive terms, as creating equality, liberty, and prosperity. The development of nuclear power promised clean energy for all; the green revolution promised to eradicate hunger; economic growth promised prosperity for all. Decolonization was linked to the expectation that the countries of the Global South would be on a par with the Global North within a decade (!). This belief in progress had a capitalist and a socialist variant. While the former saw prosperity for all as built on the prerequisite of developing productive forces, the latter viewed it as the outcome of equality and justice. In this postwar period, for the first time, fulfilling the dream of a secular modernity appeared to be within reach.

With the 1973 crisis, this dream evaporated. This did not exclude the possibility of economic growth—even increased growth—but hardly anyone still believed that it would lead to a movement for global equality. The path followed by nuclear power and the green revolution, both of which were connected with incalculable risks, could also be traced for all other innovations. There was a feeling of facing a rapidly accelerating pace of life with an epochal break in living conditions—but the teleological illusion had vanished. One no longer knew whether new developments would have a positive or negative effect (or, more precisely, whether another catastrophe was looming behind the initial euphoria). The concept of a “world risk society” (Beck 1986) describes this overall state of consciousness: the entirety, the universe, the world, is no longer seen in terms of assurance and trust, but in terms of *angst*.

With the collapse of the paradigm of progress, the Archimedean point needed to exert the force to shape politics is lost. If a belief in the future is no longer sustainable, this also nullifies the perspective endowing socialist and communist movements with meaning. For this reason, neoliberalism also meant the collapse of the political sphere (at least in the sense of a comprehensive solution). Today (particularly given the present economic crisis), it seems illusory, even completely counterproductive, to want to combat the markets to create more equality, equitability, or humanity.

This situation offers providers of religion *per se* with a particular opportunity. When the inner-worldly situation no longer provides a perspective, offers of meaning that inherently assume the invalidity of all inner-worldly redemption are especially attractive. Religion introduces the incommensurable and heterogeneous. The religious promises of salvation shift the point from which you can gain a perspective on life onto the transcendental level. In principle, they allow the idea of the miraculous—and, in doing so seem to gain a particular plausibility in those situations in life where planning and prediction cease to apply. “By the miraculous I mean the ability of urban residents to act without being eligible to act—

where something is put in motion, put in place regardless of whether individuals have any recognizable capacity to do this ...” (Simone 2013: 3). They relativize the laws of the world through adherence to divine laws—or, in a manner similar to Saint Paul, even replace the old law by the new. Ultimately, a valorization of life counters its devaluation, which is an inherent characteristic of exclusion. A person’s real value is revealed in the relationship to the transcendent. The experience of inner-worldly devaluation is relativized, and so becomes bearable. This point cannot be emphasized enough: it is simply nonsense to criticize providers of religion for a lack of class-consciousness when the basis for a meaningful Marxist class analysis is not given.

#### COMMONALITIES AND DIFFERENCES IN THE GLOBAL PRAYERS COMMUNITIES

The individual revivalist or reawakening movements are inscribed in different traditions—both in Islam and Christianity. Aside from the differences from adherence to the one or other major tradition, it is significant whether the emphasis is placed more on writings (as in Evangelicalism or in Islamic groups which emphasize the guidance by divine law) or on a spiritual access to the divine, as in Pentecostalism<sup>7</sup> or in neo-mystical Islamic groups (such as the Gülen community or the supporters of Süleyman Hilmi Tunahan). Nonetheless, the parallels between such groups predominate, as will be shown in the following. These parallels are due to the specific religious approach developed for these groups which is systematically different from mainstream religions. This approach can be divided into four main points: a promise of salvation; ascetism and personal responsibility; communitarianism; and missionizing.

The promise of salvation can be paraphrased in the words of Jimmy Cliff’s classic song as: “You can get it if you really pray; but you must pray, pray and pray, you succeed at last.” The names of evangelical churches photographed by David Spero (2007) stand as exemplary for the promised salvation: “Unstoppable believers. The gateway to your destiny,” “World Mission Agency—Winners’ Chapel,” “Christian Gospel World Outreach Evangelistic Ministries. Unstoppable Achievers,” “Building overcomers for tomorrow.” These slogans, intended to attract people to join the congregation, are expressed in the language of neoliberal mobilization and motivational campaigns, which themselves are informed by a metaphor of the world as a competitive arena. There is a method in this fusing of the secular and sacred. One becomes a “winner” in and through joining a religious community—evidently to start with only in relation to the next world, but in this world as well, through the development of a feeling of personal dignity and worth. The promises of salvation are each adapted to specific situations: Erbakan promised Turkish migrants, who were stranded in Europe and stuck in a hopeless situation, a radiant homecoming to an Islamicized Turkey (Schiffauer 2010). Conversely, the promise of salvation for residents of Lagos, who see borders as preventing them from enjoying a meaningful and dignified life, comes in the form of migration—and hence access to a world where you can prove your value. In this context, the complaint of an International Organization for Migration (IOM) manager is striking. In accordance with European Union policy, he tries to stop young men from attempting to en-

ter the EU illegally: “With most of these churches, which we call *églises de réveil* here, it is difficult because going abroad is one of their teachings, I mean that’s what they try to sell to the people, if I may use this word. They are selling miracles in order to get more people to come to their church, things like marriage, traveling abroad and becoming mature” (Heck 2010: 2).<sup>8</sup> As Lanz noted in writing about the *favelas*: “The key to their [the Evangelic Churches’] success is that the churches do not follow a relief program for the poor, but offer instead an option of self-empowerment and autonomy that not only allows but actually furthers a merging of religious and economic agency” (Lanz 2012: 288).

This promise of salvation, second, is realized through personal responsibility and asceticism. Initially, this personal responsibility affects one’s own family. The change of direction towards the new global prayers<sup>9</sup> often occurs with a conversion—though such conversions are less from one religion to another than from a mainstream religion viewed as half-hearted to a spiritual or inspirational religion in the same major tradition. The Turkish-Islamic communities independent from the state call themselves *şuurlu* (‘conscious’ in the sense of ‘spiritually inspired’, *şuurlu* is an adjective); similarly, the Evangelicals also view themselves as “spiritual.” Frequently, it is precisely this spiritual inspiration which is expressed as the demarcation line to the established churches and the official state version of Islam. “Muslims there just confine themselves to prayer. We have told them: No, Islam is not just prayer. Islam is life” (Schiffauer 2010: 49).

Conversion goes hand in hand with a rejection of one’s former life and lifestyle, with a renunciation of, for example, criminality, drugs, alcohol, and pre- and non-marital sex. This change of direction is accompanied by disciplining men—and it is this feature which also makes global prayers so interesting for women. This has led, not least for example, to the discovery of non-conformist Islam by an entire generation of women of Turkish origin living and working in Germany, who then encouraged their husbands and male relatives to join. From their perspective, this offered the chance of reintegrating those male members into the family who had often spent several years living alone in Germany as young men and lived accordingly.<sup>10</sup> The same structure can be found in the *favelas* as well. And the following description by Lanz on the work of the Evangelicals in the *favelas* can also be directly transferred to work in the Islamic communities in the *gecekondu* and among Islamic German immigrants. The communities offer a program of “self-governance,” he notes:

*“In doing so they offer religious rituals through which the individuals are for example ‘reborn’ and fight in a permanent spiritual war against evil. At the same time they provide a precise code of conduct, with which the believers are to govern their own life on an everyday basis. The churches give clear instructions concerning family life, gender roles, sexual orientation, consumer behavior, and cultural activities.” (2012: 289)*

<sup>8</sup> The mingling of religious and economic codes seems to be characteristic for the communities of “global prayers”. See also Schiffauer (2010: 150-7)

<sup>9</sup> Here and throughout global prayers in lower case is referring specifically to the phenomenon of religiosity in urban spaces in the context of the current discussion and not directly to the title of the project and the current volume.

<sup>10</sup> See the case study of Fatma in Schiffauer (1991: 196–226). On the function of the workers’ mosques in Germany for women, see Schiffauer (2010: 51).

7 On the Pentecostals see Semán (2011: especially p. 45); on the Evangelicals Pally 2011.

One only needs to substitute “new life” for “reborn” and jihad for the permanent “spiritual war against evil” and you have the program of a nonconformist Islamic community. It is no coincidence that the global prayers program of whichever provenance has a structure strongly resembling a family. Especially in those situations of relative anonymity experienced everywhere by newly arrived migrants, the family—and in particular the nuclear family—acquires an immense value as a mutually supporting community. Who can one rely on in times of anomie, if not the family? Across the global prayers communities, this valorization of the family is linked to a very conservative sexual morality (Schiffauer 2010: 49, 50). Third, a vibrant community life plays a key role in stabilizing the self-governance of personal conduct. All the global prayers communities have strongly communitarian features. Across the communities, the believers emphasize the stability and strength which the community gives them. Without the community, they would never have managed to free themselves from their involvement in criminality, drugs, the youth scene, alcohol, or prostitution. It is crucially important to note here that the communities have been created from below, founded and supported by laypeople. As a rule, groups of traditionally linked fellow countrymen and women formed the cloud seeds of the communities in the Islamic sphere—in this case, in the poor urban quarters and in migration—and they were then joined by others; in contrast, the charismatic vocation of lay-preachers appears to play the main role in Pentecostal churches (see, for instance, Lanz 2012). Nonetheless, a remarkable similarity is shown in all these movements in their emphasis on the ministry—in Christian congregations as well as in Islamic communities.<sup>11</sup> The personal charisma of the preacher and a vibrant community life was more important than any theological training. In this way, global prayers blur the distinction between the priesthood and congregation: every individual is called and accountable. Salvation is regarded as each individual’s autonomous task and is not left to an administrative body.

These forms of autonomously organized lay-religiosities produce a type of religiousness paving the way for the acceptance, as it were, of an undisguised and unbridled pleasure in the sacral (as can be understood through Rudolph Otto’s (1963) aesthetic approach to the holy), and this is found both in the moment of the awe-inspiring, the overwhelming, overpowering, and the mysterious, as well as in the gentle and uplifting (though different communities set different emphases). The result is a desublimation of religious practices, where religious energy is often discharged with an unrestrained force that not only leaves the secular middle classes speechless at this “outburst springing from desperation and borne by untamed enthusiasm” (Bourdieu 2000: 105). From the perspective of tempered middle-class religiosity, this pleasure makes migrant religion often seem kitschy and excessive. It is not least this specific aesthetic of religiosity which leads mainstream religious communities to reject such movements.<sup>12</sup>

11 On this point, one finds the idea in Islam of every individual having a responsibility to preach their beliefs (see, for example, the account of Cemaladdin Kaplan’s teachings (Schiffauer 2000: 92ff.); on the universality of the priesthood in the Pentecostal churches: Semán (2011: 45) and among the Evangelicals, Pally (2011).

12 This, though, is nothing new. Martin Beradt has left us a wonderful description of the atmosphere in the 1920s among the circle of Jewish immigrants in a synagogue in Berlin’s Scheunenviertel district. The communal prayer steadily becomes increasingly emotional and rousing: “Suddenly, just when it seemed that everyone was so desperately exhausted they would have to pause, two men stood up, raised on the tips of their toes, cried out with their hands lifted and burst out in song as if this were the moment when, through this hoarse screaming, the

Incidentally, this specific religiosity has also led to the aesthetic emerging first and foremost in North American Evangelical and Pentecostal communities becoming nothing short of the signature style across communities and religions. In fact, the illustrated writings enabling Harun Yahya to reach an audience of millions in Turkey appear to directly emulate North American publications in the same style.

These self-organized communities created in the new migrant districts met in storefront churches and backyard mosques. Their religious character gave them an extra-territorial feel. This may well have been most obvious in the *favelas*, dominated by drug-related crime, where they occupied spaces respected both by criminals and the police. Here, they formed a quite manifest “third space.” Even if their point of origin was religious, the communities never served solely religious purposes. Instead, within the framework of the communities, it went without saying that they also provided mutual help and support. This was most needed in those migrant districts in the South where hopelessly overwhelmed state institutions had entirely lost traction. “These new migrants, who never questioned their links to Islam even if they were not necessarily devout, could be reached via a language combining sociopolitical problems with a religious perspective. One key here was the Islamic vision of an equitable society” (Schiffauer 2010: 85).

Jenny White also regards this as the starting point for the growth of the Islamic movement.<sup>13</sup>

*“I use the term Islamist movement to mean a general mobilization of people around cultural, political and social issues that are presented and interpreted through an Islamic idiom. ... What binds people together in the Islamist movement is neither ideology (be it political or religious) nor any particular type of organization. ... Rather the movement is rooted in local culture and interpersonal relations, while also drawing on a variety of civic and political organizations and ideologies.” (White 2002: 6)*

Teschner has described the Christian communities in Africa in a very similar way.

*“Over the last ten years, many social networks have become established in the rapidly growing informal settlements in large African cities. Initially, these networks fought for improvements in living conditions in their settlements, calling for state aid programs and organizing self-help measures. At*

soul would fly directly out of the body through the ceiling to the heavens” (Beradt 1981: 92). H. Richard Niebuhr refers to the aversion to Methodist practices among the well-to-do English middle classes in the nineteenth century: “To an ordinary cultivated mind ... there was something extremely repulsive [in the Methodist teacher’s] tears and groans and amorous ejaculation, in the coarse anthropomorphic familiarity and the unwavering dogmatism with which he dealt with the most sacred subjects, in the narrowness of his theory of life and his utter insensibility to many of the influences that expand and embellish it, in the mingled credulity and self-confidence with which he imagined that the whole course of nature was altered for his convenience. But the very qualities that impaired his influence in one sphere enhanced it in another. His impassioned prayers and exhortations stirred the hearts of multitudes whom a more decorous teaching had left absolutely callous. The supernatural atmosphere of miracles, judgements and inspirations in which he moved invested the most prosaic life with a halo of romance.” (Niebuhr 1987, 62–3).

13 This only seemingly contradicts Asef Bayat’s analysis. Asef Bayat (2011) argued that the poor and new urban residents were not supporting Islamism. Instead, given their situation, they tended towards a less ideological pragmatism. Apparently, though, Bayat was concerned with Islamist organizations in a narrower sense—and not the socio-religious movement in its own particular standard forms widespread among the new urban classes.

*the same time, as residents in poor quarters, they want to attain a political voice and, hence, are also aiming to transform society. ... For many protagonists, religion, conduct of life and the fight against injustice [are] inherently a part of such grass-roots networks.” (Teschner 2011: 92)*

In this process, the religious communities become “migrant institutions” (Goss and Lindqvist 1995) as well, also taking up and responding to the specific problems of the migrants in quite practical terms providing, for example, contact persons, centers to meet in the new surroundings, and a source of information on places to live and available workshop space (Heck 2010). In this context, it is precisely one of the strengths of these communities that they are not a class-specific organization as was the case among the classic left-wing groups, but an expression of a cross-class religious milieu (which, conversely, they also play a part in constructing). This becomes especially important in the later phase when the self-disciplining program takes effect, facilitating social upward mobility for at least some community members. Naturally, access to people who are well-off also increases the value of the network. Admittedly, this sounds as though social organization is the essential aspect and religion, only, as it were, the idiom. In my view, this is not correct. Instead, one must assume a reciprocal interlacing, with religious ideas inscribed into urban self-organization (Dussel 2011 in relation to Catholicism), and, conversely, social issues inscribed in religion. Just as the support structures developing within the community framework were constituted through religion, so religion was also transformed and changed by its social commitment. While in small towns and villages, Islam and Catholicism were inscribed in and reinforced an existing social order (with all its hierarchies), Islamic and Christian mobilization in the cities established a new social order.

Even if this religiosity was supported from below, it was not limited to local communities. Such communities as the Redeemed Christian Church of God in Nigeria, Milli Görüş in Europe, or the Gülen communities are very large, but they function in a different way from mainstream religious communities. They signalize the possibility of autonomous religious practices; as Semán has noted in the case of the Pentecostals, this leads “to a rapid spread of the groups who are allowed to establish their own religious institutions and, in the process, each express the specific characteristics of their experience and doctrine” (Semán 2011: 46). The migrant workers’ religious communities in Germany formed umbrella organizations exactly in this spirit—for example, the Milli Görüş, the Verband der islamischen Kulturzentren (Association of Islamic Cultural Centers), and others. This gave them an efficient means of organizing such practical concerns as arranging pilgrimages, recruiting preachers, dealing with legal matters, and so on. This bottom-up organization is easily overlooked since, at the same time, it is connected with the adoption of a brand name and particular style.<sup>14</sup> While externally they start resembling mainstream religious communities, they are marked by a significantly greater flexibility.

<sup>14</sup> These forms of religious organization and retailer cooperatives have a structural similarity. One could say that they function in a similar way to the German retail cooperative Edeka, which has over 4,500 affiliated independent retailers. For this reason, Edeka also offers a fascinating parallel to the umbrella organizations, since it shows how, over the years, an own profile comparable to the classic parent company with branches (for example, Tengelmann) has been forged through close cooperation and definite demarcation lines

The propensity to large events, especially among the larger global prayer communities, is directly linked to this bottom-up structure. Ultimately, such mass events make the community visible in its entirety. This is where the community comes together, this is where it can be experienced as such, and in a way that can be read as the work of God. This applied just as much to the large events organized by Milli Görüş (Schiffauer 2010: 150–7; Tezcan 2002) as to those arranged by the mainstream churches in Lagos (Ukah 2011). As on the local level, the community is a community of brothers and sisters—and not a bureaucratic organization existing separately and independently from the congregation. At large events, especially, spectacle and interiority are connected.

Let us now conclude with the fourth point. Global prayers communities engage in missionary work—and not only in urban centers. As one of Gerda Heck’s informants put it, the aim is to bring “the gospel back to Europe.” One would be well advised to take this statement seriously. Missionary work means proclaiming the true and good in a space dominated by falsehood and evil. This is a direct attack on the North’s (from an African and South American perspective) or West’s (from an Islamic perspective) hegemonic claim of being the trustee of the good (as, for example, in representing democracy and human rights). In fact, for the reasons given above, many people in the South regard such a claim as mere hypocrisy. This also applies precisely to those institutions of which Europe is proud—such as the constitutional state. Taking migrants living in Germany illegally as an example, Kohlhagen (2006) has elaborated how the country’s entire legal system appears to immigrants as nothing but a bulwark of exclusion. Whenever they have to deal with the law and its representatives, the law is applied to rob them of their livelihood. The key criticism of the borders defending Fortress Europe noted by Gerda Heck that, “The gospel doesn’t know borders, neither do we” (Heck 2010) also has to be understood in this sense.

After elaborating the commonalities, it may also be fruitful to highlight one of the differences between, primarily, Evangelical and Islamic communities. This relates to the nature of the charisma found in them. While in the Pentecostal churches, the personal charisma of a preacher called to the pulpit plays a role, in Islamic communities, it is rather a group charisma where the individual is stabilized through contact with the spirit of an in-group.

#### THE LATER PHASES

Even if global prayers were also employed as a socio-religious movement by a particular generation of urban migrants, this is not the end of the story. Overall, the self-organization and self-disciplining program had its effect. Since the mid-1990s at the latest, there can be no question any longer of these communities being limited to marginalized new urban residents. Instead, the communities have become differentiated—both in terms of class and age. A second generation of post-migrants has taken its place next to the first wave of immigrants and become the social carriers of the global prayers.

In the context of migrants in Germany, I have described the situation of a second generation in the Islamic communities (Schiffauer 2010: 158ff). The self-disciplining program of

to competitors. In the case of the Gülen community, this has led, in a particularly notable form, to the creation of a network of largely independently operating institutions (Ağai 2004).



many first-generation members apparently created largely stable family situations which, in many cases, positively influenced the second generation's success at school. Following Gramsci, I characterize the intellectuals in this second generation as organic intellectuals. On the one hand, they move with the greatest of ease in society and, on the other, are anchored in the community. Strikingly often, they have a pronounced feeling of gratitude and say that, without the support of the communities, they would not be where they are today. As a result, they are loyal to an extent which many "free-floating" intellectuals in the majority society find hard to understand. Through this feeling of commitment, they see themselves as having to realize a bridging and translating function into society. This generation is no longer outside; but inside and outside have interlocked in a fascinating way. One notable pattern here is that this generation does not become secularized—quite the contrary. In many respects, they are more religious than their parents. The translation tasks they have taken on, in particular, mean that they are engaging far more intensively with their religious heritage. Something can only be translated if one has really understood it—and this applies equally to translation in the other direction. The emotional power characterizing the first generation's spirituality has given way to a religiosity that is calmer and more finely differentiated in its emotionality. At the same time, the attitude towards secular society has also changed. It is now no longer perceived as principally problematic. Instead, secularism is now viewed as the basis for interreligious cooperation on an equal footing. Following Asef Bayat, I describe this as "post-Islamism."

The global prayers program was particularly successful in Turkey. Here, a second generation of post-Islamist reformers came into government after 2002, bringing the country an overall stable political situation and economic prosperity. This did not mean replacing or destroying urban secular culture—but setting it clear borders and limits. In the 2000s, the contrast between city districts influenced by Islam and secularism became more accentuated and distinct. In this volume, Ayşe Cavdar presents her research into the effects of the post-Islamists' social advancement on the history and everyday culture of Başakşehir. She shows how an Islamic gated community is also, first and foremost, an Islamic *gated community*, i.e. how the imminent logic of social marginalization processes asserts itself against the original ideals and begins to dominate urban life. Cavdar's study shows how originally sacred ideals and lifestyles are themselves secularized through the appropriation of secular structures. For this reason, the two case studies of Heck and Cavdar are interesting for this topic since they demonstrate how the borders separating the spheres of the secular and the sacred in the modern world have not been abolished, but re-drawn. Space in the post-secular city is overlaid with secular and sacred structures. Post-Islamists are expert actors in secular society, and yet are integrated into a holistic religious community; the Başakşehir quarter is, on the one hand, the product of a religious vision which wanted to replace the dream of Kemalist modernity by a modernity influenced by Islam; on the other hand, it also shows how, as in a palimpsest, Kemalist modernity shimmers through the project of Islamic modernity.

- AGAI, BEKIM, *Zwischen Netzwerk und Diskurs – Das Bildungsnetzwerk um Fethullah Gülen (geb. 1938)*. Schenefeld, 2004.
- APPADURAI, ARJUN, "Global Ethnoscapes: Notes and Queries for a Transnational Anthropology," in *Recapturing Anthropology: Working in the Present*, ed. R. G. Fox. Santa Fe, NM, Chapter 10, p. 191, p. 210, references.
- BAYAT, ASEF, "Der Mythos der islamistischen Armen. Betrachtungen aus Kairo und Teheran," in *Urban Prayers. Neue religiöse Bewegungen in der Stadt*, metroZones 10, metroZones (ed.). Berlin and Hamburg, 2011, pp. 67–84.
- BECK, ULRICH, *Die Risikogesellschaft. Auf dem Weg in eine andere Moderne*. Frankfurt am Main, Suhrkamp, 1986.
- BERADT, MARTIN, "Die Betstube," in *Im Scheunenviertel*, ed. Elke Geisel. Berlin, 1981, pp. 92–101.
- BOURDIEU, PIERRE, *Das religiöse Feld*. Konstanz, 2000.
- CASTELLS, MANUEL, *The Power of Identity*. Cambridge, MA and Oxford, 1997.
- DE CERTEAU, MICHEL, *Die Kunst des Handelns*. Berlin, 1988.
- DUSSEL, ENRIQUE, "'Keine Angst vor den Pfingstkirchen.' Ein Gespräch über die Aktualität der Befreiungstheologie," in *Urban Prayers. Neue religiöse Bewegungen in der Stadt*, ed. Jochen Becker et al. Berlin and Hamburg, 2011, pp. 25–40.
- GÖLE, NİLÜFER, *Republik und Schleier. Die muslimische Frau in der modernen Türkei*. Berlin, 1995.
- GOSS, JON, AND BRUCE LINDQUIST, "Conceptualizing International Labor Migration: A Structuration Perspective," *International Migration Review* 29, no. 2 (1995), pp. 317–51.
- HECK, GERDA, "The Gospel doesn't know borders, neither do we." *Social and geographical mobility within the new charismatic churches in Kinshasa*. Unpublished research paper, 2010.
- HEYMAN, JOSIAH MCC, "Putting Power into the Anthropology of Bureaucracy: The Immigration and Naturalization Service at the Mexico-United States Border," *Current Anthropology* 36 (1995), pp. 261–87.
- HOFFMANN, FELIX, "We don't work for money – We work for papers! – Illegalisierte Migrantinnen in der Agrarindustrie Almerias," MA diss., Europa Universität Viadrina Frankfurt, 2010.
- KARPAT, KEMAL, *The Gecekondu: Rural Migration and Urbanization*. Cambridge, 1976.
- KEARNEY, MICHAEL, "The Classifying and Value-Filtering Missions of Borders," *Anthropological Theory* 4, no. 2 (2004), pp. 131–56.
- KEPEL, GILLES, *Die Rache Gottes. Radikale Moslems, Christen und Juden auf dem Vormarsch*. Munich and Zürich, 1991.
- KOHLHAGEN, DOMINIK, "Illegale Migration und Rechtskultur," *Zeitschrift für Rechtssoziologie* 2 (2006), pp. 239–50.
- LANZ, STEPHAN, "Pentecostal Lifestyle and the Urban Everyday Culture," in *Faith is the Place: the Urban Cultures of Global Prayers*, metroZones (ed.). Berlin, 2012.
- LÉVI-STRAUSS, CLAUDE, *Das Wilde Denken*. Frankfurt am Main, 1968[1962].
- MARTY, MARTIN E., AND R. SCOTT APPLEBY, *Herausforderung Fundamentalismus. Radikale Christen, Moslems und Juden im Kampf gegen die Moderne*. Frankfurt am Main, 1996[1992].
- MASSEY, DOUGLAS S., AND JOAQUIN ARANGO (EDS.), *Worlds in Motion. Understanding Migration at the End of the Millennium*. Oxford, 1998.
- NIEBUHR, RICHARD H., *The Social Sources of Denominationalism*. Gloucester, MA, 1987.
- OTTO, RUDOLF, *Das Heilige. Über das Irrationale in der Idee des Göttlichen und sein Verhältnis zum Rationalen*. Munich, 1963.
- PALLY, MARCIA, *The New Evangelicals: Expanding the Vision of the Common Good*. Grand Rapids 2011.
- PIORE, MICHAEL J., *Bird of Passage. Migrant Labor and Industrial Societies*. Cambridge, 1979.
- RIESEBRODT, MARTIN, *Fundamentalismus als patriarchalische Protestbewegung. Amerikanische Protestanten (1910–28) und iranische Schiiten (1961–79) im Vergleich*. Tübingen, 1990.
- SCHIFFAUER, WERNER, "Islamischer Fundamentalismus—Zur Konstruktion des Radikal Anderen," *Neue Politische Literatur. Berichte über das internationale Schrifttum* 1 (1995), pp. 95–105.
- SCHIFFAUER, WERNER, *Die Migranten aus Subay—Türken in Deutschland: Eine Ethnographie*. Stuttgart, 1991.
- SCHIFFAUER, WERNER, *Die Gottesmänner—Türkische Islamisten in Deutschland*. Frankfurt am Main, 2000.
- SCHIFFAUER, WERNER, *Nach dem Islamismus—Eine Ethnographie der Islamischen Gemeinde Milli Görüş*. Berlin, 2010.
- SEMÁN, PABLO, "Pfingstkirchen als Ausweg aus der Armut? Religiosität und Alltag in Buenos Aires," in *Urban Prayers. Neue religiöse Bewegungen in der Stadt*, ed. J. Becker et al. Berlin and Hamburg, 2011, 41–66.
- SIMONE, ABDOMALIO, "Religiously Urban and Holding Faith in the City: Reflections on the Movements of Youth in Central Africa and Southeast Asia," *Contemporary Manifestations of the Religious in the City*, ed. Jochen Becker et al. Berlin 2013.
- SPERO, DAVID, *Churches*. Göttingen, 2007.
- TESCHNER, KLAUS, "Struggle as a Sacrament. Religion und städtische Bewegungen in Afrika," *Urban Prayers. Neue religiöse Bewegungen in der Stadt*, ed. J. Becker et al. Berlin and Hamburg, 2011.
- TEZCAN, LEVENT, "Inszenierungen kollektiver Identität. Artikulationen des politischen Islam - beobachtet auf den Massenveranstaltungen der türkisch-islamischen Gruppe Milli Görüş," *Soziale Welt* 53 (2002), pp. 301–22.
- TSIANOS, VASSILIS, SABINE HESS, ET AL., *Transnational Migration. Theory and Method of an Ethnographic Analysis of Border Regimes*. University of Sussex, Centre for Migration Research Working Paper, no.55, 2009.
- UKAH, ASONZEH, "Die Welt erobern, um das Himmelreich zu errichten. Pfingstkirchen, Prayer Camps und Stadtentwicklung in Lagos," in *Urban Prayers: Neue Religiöse Bewegungen in der Globalen Stadt*, ed. Jochen Becker et al. Berlin and Hamburg, 2011, pp. 123f.
- WHITE, JENNY B., *Islamist Mobilization in Turkey. A Study in Vernacular Politics*. London and Seattle, 2002.