New York Times (2006): Half of U.S. Still Believes Iraq Had WMD, http://www.nytimes.com/aponline/us/AP-Iraq-Believing WMD.html: 6

August (accessed 6 August 2006). Rasul v. Bush (2004): 548 U.S. _____.

Rumsfeld v. Padilla (2004): 542 U.S. 426; 124 S. Ct. 2711.

United States v. Lindh (2002): 212 F.Supp.2d 541.

U.S. Department of Defense (2005): Guantanamo Detainee Processes, http://www.defenselink.mil/news/Sep2005/d20050908process.pdf: 8 September (accessed 15 August 2006).

White House (2001a): President Issues Military Order, http://www.white house.gov/news/releases/2001/11/20011113-27.html: 13 November (accessed 15 August 2006).

— (2001b): Press Briefing by Ari Fleischer, http://www.whitehouse. gov/news/release/2001/09/20010926-5.html: 26 September (accessed 19 August 2006

Suspect Subjects: Muslim Migrants and the

Security Agencies in Germany

WERNER SCHIFFAUER

direct prevention of crimes and/or improvement of criminal prosecution. To curity package issued shortly after 9/11 to increase air travel security - target sion through 'preventive' measures. In the jargon of the security agencies, an expansion of 'repressive' measures and their supplementation and extensociety. This is a result of the new security policy, which is characterized by ing the discussion about the integration of Muslim immigrants into German Ever since 9/11, security political questions have increasingly been dominatand associated with, possible crimes are interpreted in a significantly broader cerned with abstract danger situations. In this context, matters preliminary to, sive intervention' or of an 'all-encompassing' concept of security. Preventive manner. The emphasis placed on such measures recently is the expression of a the extent that measures are adopted in advance of an incident, they are to desumed to be capable of becoming potential criminals, with 'milieus' producmeasures are not concerned with criminals or crimes, but with 'extremists' asflect immediate danger. 'Preventive' measures, on the other hand, are con-'repressive' measures are those which - as did the laws enacted in the first seshown, is based on two figures of thought: the supposed unpredictability and cite crimes. The need for a new concept of security, as Julia Eckert has ing or providing escape for criminals, and with 'discourses' which could in-'comprehensive approach to domestic security which is not limited to repres-

Volker Homuth, Director of the Lower Saxony Agency for Internal SecurityAgency, during a conference of the Evangelische Akademie Loccum, 15-17 April 2005.

irrationality of the new terrorism, which can apparently hit anywhere and anytime; and the extent of the danger, which made new measures necessary (Eckert 2005).

of the isolation by others and self-isolation. Both together lead to unintended consequences which give rise to new dangers. of Muslim residents being increasingly stigmatized, accelerating the dynamics ger of false decisions, and thus of injustice. The second consequence consists tal for the functioning of the rule of law - are reduced. This increases the danare concerned, the usual checks and balances of various state authorities - viscenario has two consequences. The first is that, as far as Muslim immigrants Germany through immigrants from Muslim countries. The acceptance of this danger facing our state comes from Islamistic terrorism which is penetrating common threat scenario, which can be summarized as follows: The central rather, it seems to develop almost on its own as various partners agree on a develops (Bigo 2000). This coordination is only in part consciously created; Didier Bigo puts it, a security field with a high degree of internal coordination tion of constitutional order], the immigration authorities, and the courts. As especially the Verfassungsschutz [the internal security agency for the protecatmosphere leads to a new and close cooperation among various agencies which has an extensive influence on the application of laws in practice. This greater consequence is the fact that a new atmosphere has been promoted, show that the results do not merely consist in a new legal situation. Of far integration policy. At the same time, it is of particular importance to me to In this paper, I will turn to the consequences preventive measures have on

In the first section, I will deal with the significance of the *Verfassungss-chutz* (Internal Security Agency) in the context of prevention and discuss the specific ways it constructs knowledge with regard to the supporting milieus of terrorism. In the sections to follow I will show how this information directs and structures practices of state agencies, with regard to naturalisation, to expulsions, and to the surveillance and disciplining of Islamic organisations. At the same time I will devote particular attention to the cooperation among various state agencies. In a concluding section I will go into the unintended results of new security policies on the integration of Muslims.

The Knowledge of the Verfassungsschutz

The German Internal Security Agency plays a key role in the implementation of the security policy inasmuch as it provides the information on whose basis other state instances act.

stitution, but also those who profess allegiance to the constitution in their pubut Tahrir' and 'Caliphate State' - are in open opposition to the German connot only groups and efforts which - such as the prohibited organisations 'Hizb with the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt and Syria, and the IGMG with the past and on the transnational relations both organisations maintain, the IGD communities, this applies to the Islamic Community of Germany (IGD), and if there is a justified suspicion of anticonstitutional efforts. Among Islamic lic statements, obey the laws, and distance themselves from acts of violence, to be in the midst of a process of change. systems of European states. Both organisations claim to have changed and/or goal of establishing Islam as a minority religion in the framework of the legal sions of the organisations. They are committed to Europe with the long-term generations, they say, has led to a shift in perspectives in the European divimeanwhile they are pursuing a different agenda in Europe. The change of pursuing the goal of Islamisation of their respective homelands and societies Saadet Party of Necmettin Erbakan in Turkey. Both of these organisations are especially to the Islamic Community Milli Görüs (IGMG). For them, the IGD and IGMG admit to these connections, raise the point, however, that 'suspicion' of anticonstitutional efforts is based primarily on their Islamistic In accordance with its assigned duties, the agency is obliged to observe

Now, there is a significant interest of society on the whole in an unbiased examination of this claim. For if that should indeed be true, this would mean that in these two organisations' positions have been developed, which attempt to overcome Islamism from within. Such a process would promise a certain sustainability and provide intellectual answers to radical and terrorist Islam. This would signify the chance of preventing young people from drifting off into the sectarian and violent scene.

An independent examination, however, is hindered by the *Verfassungs-schutz*'s claim to be 'the Federal Republic's institutionalized distrust of itself' (Claudia Schmid, Director of the Berlin Bureau of the *Verfassungsschutz*). Reading the federal and state Internal Security Agencies' reports, one gets the impression that the agencies' assignment of duties does not result in an unbiased examination of the positions of the organisations observed, but rather in a systematical attempt to counter the public claims made by these organisations – which amounts to showing that 'actually', a secret agenda is indeed being pursued despite constitutional rhetoric. A precise reading of the reports highlights the fact that the Internal Security Agency is very selective with the

² The mathematical definition of risk refers to probability times extent of danger. If the danger is considered extremely high, such a risk estimate is required, even to take measures for a situation whose probability of occurrence is considered relatively unlikely. The problem with this calculation lies in the fact that quite far-reaching measures can be legitimized by it.

an unbiased examination of them would indicate. stylized and are portrayed as significantly more extremist and closed off than posite. Under the distrusting gaze of the Verfassungsschutz, communities are positions internally). Occasionally, statements are turned into their exact opaccordance with the accepted German public opinion, while maintaining other image of speaking with a forked tongue (to the outside world, they speak in result of factional struggles;3 rather, they are quite simply explained by the tion appear, the agencies only in exceptional cases examine whether this is a mentioned in any report. When interpreting quotes, the reading most unfavorable for the IGMG is chosen. If contradictory statements from the organisaing children, both sons and daughters, to German upper schools, all are not integrationist; the fact that the communities at the same time encourage sendmunities attempts to promote Islamic self-confidence is criticized as antidialogue), however, is sorted out. The fact that the youth work in these comactive contacts with the Jewish Community or engagement in inter-religious statements in sermons, for instance); what doesn't fit the image (for example, information it provides. Whatever fits the picture is quoted (anti-Semitic

A specific problem area is to be found in those sections of Internal Security Agency reports dealing with the IGD and the IGMG, in that they quite simply equate the accusation of behaving in an anti-integrational manner, of carrying out 'identity policies', and/or of creating 'parallel society structures' with anticonstitutionalism. Here, the points of view dominating the examination have nothing to do with the constitution. One may indeed have political objections to parallel societies, but no constitutional qualms can be deduced from them. Thus, the line between constitutional and anticonstitutional is completely blurred and the door to arbitrariness is opened wide. For example, Internal Security Agency reports portray activities which are in complete conformity with the constitution, such as the creation of a legal department, ⁵ as problematic. ⁶

Indeed (and this has so far been taken into consideration far too little), the far-reaching assessments of the Internal Security Agency rely on a limited data basis. Analysis is based primarily on the evaluation of written material, but not on systematic interviews, field research, or questioning. 'For legal reasons, Internal Security Agencies are not authorized to conduct broad studies

The single exception is the Berlin Internal Security Agency report from 2004.

on the "Islamic milieu"; these are and remain primarily the tasks of academic research', claims the Cologne staff member of the Internal Security Agency, Tania Puschnerat (2006).

Another limitation must be stressed. The *Verfassungsschutz* creates the impression of being an independent authority charged with investigation on 'enemies of the constitution'. This impression is deceiving. The reports are prepared, according to the official explanation, 'on the basis of the results of the Internal Security Agencies after subjection to a political evaluation by the Ministry of the Interior'. Political considerations thus influence which organisations are mentioned in the report and which are not, and what the extent of the coverage is. Political intervention also appears to penetrate through to the content of what is said. Agency staff members have reported to me that a supervisor's expectations may indeed have an influence of the reports drafted.

There is no mention of these limitations in the reports of the Internal Security Agencies, nor in agency decisions. Instead of providing a differentiated picture listing arguments for and against anticonstitutionalism and pointing out the limitations related to the narrow data basis, authoritative judgments on the constitutionality of an organisation are passed.

In recent years, apparently in connection with security political considerations, Internal Security Agency evaluations have been placed in a new context. Connected with radicalisation scenarios and a broader notion of security, communities such as the IGMG and the IGD are increasingly being portrayed as supporting milieus of radical and terrorist Islamism. What was depicted as anticonstitutional, but not dangerous in any real sense, before 9/11 is now considered a first step towards a security risk. Since then, it was stressed again and again that, in terms of a broader concept of security, investigations of Islamism must not be limited to radical and/or violent organisations.⁸

The link between (inferred) 'anticonstitutionalism' and (vaguely defined) 'security risks', produced in radicalisation scenarios, has a very strong impact because a demand for concrete measures is connected to it. It may lead to a situation in which other agencies are ever less willing to critically examine the statements contained in *Verfassungsschutz* reports, because the fear of making a political mistake is growing. In case of imminent danger, it is better to act overcautiously.

Cf. Schiffauer (2004). I have indeed had the personal experience of wimessing the complete perversion of my text. An appraisal I wrote, which was very critical of the Internal Security Agency, was evaluated by that same agency in public as a confirmation of its own position.

This assessment of a legal department is particularly touchy considering the serious legal consequences membership in the IGMG entails.

I have published a summary listing of these in Die Zeit. To date they have not been contradicted.

According to Heribert Landolin Müller, Director of the section responsible for Islam at the State Agency for Political Education in Berlin: 'Islamism – a journalistic challenge' (2/3 February 2006)

⁸ According to the director of the Lower Saxony agency, Volker Homuth, at the conference of the Evangelische Akademie Loccum from 15-17 April 2005.

of the local IGMG organisation since the year 1998. [...] According to the current der of the Federal Republic of Germany, by which you fulfil the exclusion grounds 2001, the efforts of the IGMG are directed against the free and democratic basic or-Internal Security Agency report of the State of North Rhine Westphalia for the year

SUSPECT SUBJECTS

of § 86 No. 2 AusG (Foreigner Law) and thus have no claim to nationalisation into

the German Federation of States under § 85 AusG (Doc.1)."

component states [...]' (Bundesgesetzblatt, Jg. 1999 Teil I Nr. 38; 23 July or against the continued existence or security of the federal state or any of its assumption that the naturalisation candidate is pursuing or supporting efforts states: 'No claim for naturalisation exists if $[\ldots]$ actual indications justify the of the law was the introduction of new requirements for obtaining citizenship the constitution were included as prerequisites. Concerning the latter, the law oneself and one's family. Additionally, linguistic competence and loyalty to record, etc.). These consisted of the ability to provide economic support for in addition to the ones already in existence (i.e. long residency, no criminal tation of the new law on national citizenship passed in 1999. One component quired no change of legislation. Its legal basis instead rests on a new interpreralisation policy. This shift in the practice of the naturalisation authorities re-[...] which are directed against the free and democratic basic order of the state This new dominance of security policy has led to a U-turn in the area of natu-

ternal Security Agencies' (Doc. 2). about the information collected or evaluations made by federal and state Inments. 'It is not the task of the Naturalisation Agency to make judgments determined by the Internal Security Agencies of the federal and state governdication' for the assumption of anticonstitutional efforts. This, it went on, was 2002. It was argued that his engagement in the Milli Görüs was an 'actual inwhatsoever to the contrary. The agency rejected the appeal on 22 October forts directed against the free and basic order and that the agency had no proof Mr. Celik appealed this decision. He argued that he would never support ef-

this indeed suffices' (Bender 2003: 135). given situation might exist and that certain evidence provides indications for no specific factual situation must be proved; rather, the mere possibility that a the text of the law. In order 'to affirm "actual indications for the assumption", of the state are sufficient; this clause introduced a very elastic formulation in someone pursues efforts directed against the free and democratic basic order tion to is the stipulation that 'actual indications' for the assumption that be compelled to learn German. However, what hardly anyone paid any attenwas an intense discussion of the question as to whether older immigrants can guistic competence as grounds for an exclusion from naturalisation. There Interestingly enough, the debate at the time focused on insufficient lin-

There are two remarkable aspects of this correspondence.

strate in the following how this formulation was turned into a sharp sword with which the original intent of the law was transformed into its very oppo-By means of a microanalysis of administrative practice I shall demon-

> ment, against which legal proceedings can be initiated. pronounced by the Interior Ministries of the states or the federal governtine undermines the intended legal procedures which entail a prohibition prohibited, is anticonstitutional or not. Thus, everyday bureaucratic rou-Agency the right to define whether an organisation, which has not been The naturalisation authority expressly cedes to the Internal Security

On 28 June 2002, the city of G. decided to reject Ayhan Celik's (name

port of anticonstitutional efforts is considered manifest conformity with the constitution, then the actual indication for your supin an organisation which an Internal Security Agency deems not to be in An examination of individual cases is neglected. If you are a functionary

of R. quotes the above cited paragraph 85 of the law and makes this decisive changed) application for naturalisation. In its presentation of grounds, the city 'Though you indeed professed allegiance to the free and democratic basic order of

> cion. Mr. Yildirim (name changed) was denied naturalisation by injunction. on the local level, involved. In some federal states (for example Rhineland In the case mentioned, a person was concerned who was actively, even if only local culture center on 27 January 1998' (Doc. 4). an event conducted by the IGMG Community of Völklingen-Luisenthal in the again with reference to 'actual indications': His 'vehicle was observed during denying naturalisation. Even mere contact is enough to arouse decisive suspimembership and support for the local mosque are presented as grounds for Palatine), however, also ordinary members are affected. Here, organisation

the State of North Rhine Westphalia of 13 May, 2002, you have been on the board you actually pursue and/or support activities which are directed against that free and the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Germany in writing on 12 January, 2002. democratic basic order. [...] According to information from the Interior Ministry of

⁹ viadrina.euv-frankfurt-o.de/~anthro/Dokument_text_verwaltete_Sicherheit. htm. This document, and those which follow, can be found online under http/

In cases of this sort, a hearing occurs. The following document, a written rejection after conclusion of a hearing, casts a light on the character of such conversations.

On the occasion of your personal appearance on 22 May, 2003, you made a statement to the effect that you are not a member of the IGMG. Where the Internal Security Agency obtained the information that you were a member of the above mentioned organisation in the years 1998 and 1999 is unknown to you. It was, however, known to you that the mosque you attended for prayer sympathizes with the IGMG

'Through your membership in the above mentioned organisation, you declared your agreement with its goals and adopted them as your own. The profession of allegiance you made to the free and democratic order can, in view of your membership in the IGMG, only be regarded as empty talk (Doc. 3).'

mosque community. In addition to members who feel politically at home in posed) goals of the organisation. This chain of inferences is problematic. mosque infers membership, and membership infers agreement with the (supously only concerned with the question of IGMG membership. Attending the Two things are important about this document. Firstly, the hearing is obvisimply born into the community. And finally, not everyone who attends a the Milli Görüs movement, i.e. support actively or passively Necmettin Erfriends and acquaintances there. Many second-generation members were quite deed possible for someone to join the Milli Görüs because he wants to meet litical members. Also, mosques are centers of social life as well, and it is inbers, by my personal estimates), there is a large segment of completely apobakan's Saadet Party or Tayyip Erdogan's AK Party (about half of all mem-There can be very different motivations for membership in a Milli Görüs communities, unlike churches, must support themselves. are made to the local mosque community, this is not necessarily a proof of reservations, you go to the mosque that is easiest to reach. ¹⁰ Even if donations Milli Görüs mosque is a member of the community. Unless you have strong port for the mosque community whose services you make use of, for these membership in the IGMG. It is a matter of decency to provide financial sup-

Secondly, this document shows how the logic of fact-based indications shifts the burden of proof. There is no information in the text about the applicant's arguments, but apparently, the claim that he only went to the mosque to pray was considered mere denial self-defence. A similar helplessness can be deduced from the minutes of a hearing which is in my possession. The appli-

cant's statements, distancing himself from violence, anti-Semitism, and religious intolerance as well as his profession of allegiance to democracy prove to be of no use to him.

The impression that an applicant hardly stands any chance in a hearing to disprove the suspicion of being anticonstitutional can be drawn from the other minutes. Those questioned must give detailed information about cross-connections between mosques, about previous memberships, etc. They must describe their reading habits. It is not unusual for the persons questioned to be confronted with statements from *Verfassungsschutz* reports about the IGMG and to have to take a stand on them. The hearings rather resemble cross-examinations; it is very obvious that the aim is to get the persons questioned tangled up in contradictions. During questioning in Nuremberg, an applicant was asked to take a stand on the following extremely confrontational statements: 'What do you have to say about the discussion on veils? The prohibition of symbols has nothing to do with the free practice of religion.' Or: 'If state laws run counter to your understanding of free religious practice, you don't like it. You place the Koran above state laws according to your interpretation of the Koran.'

cial branch also increasingly bows to this logic. For example, on 2 June 2003, cies becomes ever more coordinated. It is, however, remarkable, and can ulsupported, nor for the claim that the IGMG views the use or approval of viopresented by the Internal Security Agency provided no support for the charge missed the suit of a Munich IGMG member who had argued that the material the Bavarian Administrative Court in Munich (AZ M 25 K 00.5269) distimately only be explained by the dominance of security policy, that the judi-Within this domain, it is hardly surprising that the interplay among the agenclaimed that he is highly integrated into his local German community. The lence as a legitimate means for the advancement of its goals. The member that he himself had participated in violent activities or called for them to be new legal situation excludes nationalisation when 'actual indications' are at mentation, according to the court, was based on the 'old legal situation'. The court contested none of this, but declared it irrelevant. The plaintiff's arguyounger generation today dominating the IGMG are predominantly directed cepted by an organisation as long as they are not obviously based on improper evaluation in the annual reports are admissible evidence and are to be acthe terms of § 86 No. 2 AusG n.F. The Verfassungsschutz assessment and sungsschutz reports can in and of themselves be deemed actual indications in the court was of the opinion 'that the information presented in the Verfasbased suspicion of crime suffices' (p. 11). And as far as that was concerned. hand. Proof of anticonstitutional activity is no longer necessary, 'instead, factconsiderations' (p. 16). The court did indeed concede that the 'interests of the The developments described up to now concern the executive branch

¹⁰ All observers emphasize this heterogeneous nature of motives. On the second generation, cf. Tietze (2001) or Meng (2004).

at improving the social, political, and legal conditions of residents of Turkish origin and, in that regard, are oriented along the guidelines of Islamic law concerning Muslims in the diaspora, calling for obedience to the local legal system of the host society and affirmation of the values delineated in its constitution' (p. 20). However, the fact that the activities are only predominantly and not totally constitutional provided sufficient 'actual indications' for the denial of naturalisation.

With this argumentation, the court confirmed the naturalisation authorities' practice of neglecting to examine individual cases. Instead, the concept of fact-based indications is used to treat two in fact loose connections based on suspicion as firm fact-based linkages.

- If the Internal Security Agency suspects an organisation of being anticonstitutional, it is, in the opinion of the court, permissible to treat it as an anticonstitutional organisation.
- If someone is a member of a suspected organisation, he, too, is to be treated as someone who 'pursues or supports efforts [...] directed against the free and democratic basic order or against the continued existence or security of the federal state or any of its component states [...]' § 86 No. 2 AusG.

Admittedly, court judgments are, for the time being, not unanimous. In its decision of 28 February 2003, the Administrative Court of Karlsruhe followed a different assessment. The court argued that the Islamic community of Milli Görüs could not unequivocally be classified as extremist or anticonstitutional on the basis of the information sources provided. Therefore, an evaluation of the individual case was mandatory. The Administrative Court of Hamburg argued along similar lines in its decision of 1 October 2003. This decision was also remarkable because it was not dealing with a simple IGMG member, but with a functionary, the deputy chairman of a mosque community. In its written opinion, the Hamburg court set down more stringent conditions for a 'suspicion based on actual indications'. 'General incriminating factors not supported by demonstrable concrete facts' were insufficient in the eyes of this

These verdicts show that other interpretations of the law are possible, differing from the Munich judgment. Though the legal situation still remains unsettled on the whole, there does seem to be a tendency to follow the Munich neglect of strict examination of individual cases. For example, the Superior Administrative Court of Rhineland Palatine may not have explicitly cited the Munich opinion, but it did adopt its contents. In essential passages of their opinion, the judges adopted the arguments of the *Verfassungsschutz* reports.

Judicial opinion took another step when the Administrative Court of Wiesbaden, on 19 May 2005, upheld a decision of the Governing Committee

of Gießen to revoke the citizenship of three men, a novelty in the history of namely in 'informational events for school students, German courses for also pointed out that they had actively participated in integration efforts, ered an anticonstitutional organisation by any state authorities. The plaintiffs tutional goals and that no one had informed them that the IGMG was considmembers argued that in their opinion the IGMG did not pursue any anticonstimentioned their membership in the IGMG. In the proceedings, the IGMG security of the federal state or any of its component states'. They should have and democratic basic order of the state or against the continued existence or declared never to have supported efforts 'which are directed against the free acquired citizenship because in their application for naturalisation they had state of Hessia was declared correct in arguing that the men had deceitfully the German Federal Republic. In the written opinion (6 E 2225/04(2)), the women, the coordination of training programmes among students', and 'under report had evaluated the IGMG's youth work in toto as disintegrative (17). mation sessions on youth crime and so on' (17). The court did not contest their personal engagement, but declared it irrelevant as the Verfassungsschutz the aegis of Caritas (the Catholic charity organisation in Germany), in infor-

Here, too, we can again witness the development of a special logic. In this case, the double linkage upheld by the Munich Administrative Court (i.e. that any organisation the Internal Security agency suspects of being anticonstitutional indeed is anticonstitutional; and that any member of such an organisation is to be treated as someone acting or expressing himself anticonstitutionally) is consistently applied in reverse. Anyone who does not apply this logic to himself has thus 'fraudulently' obtained citizenship.

The dominance of security policy may well explain why courts increasingly tend to accede to the *Verfassungsschutz*, rather than to the Federal Supreme Court for Constitutional Matters, the authority actually responsible for declaring organisations in conformity with the constitution or anticonstitutional. The observation of an attorney who was involved in asylum proceedings for many years is significant: 'In quiet times, judges tend to ask the *Verfassungsschutz* to simply present the facts it has. In tense times, there is, on the contrary, a tendency to simply take over the assessments of the security agencies. The worry about making political mistakes becomes dominant.' An IGMG trial observer formulated another point of view: 'You get the impression that the people on the judge's bench are trembling with fear of being tricked by particularly clever Islamists and later appearing naïve. Those involved in the trial can talk about their inner social commitment as much as they want, it will be viewed with distrust simply on principle.' Aggravating the situation is the fact that the law text is not unequivocal and permits a vari-

¹¹ Gottfried Plagemann in a personal communication with me

ety of interpretations. In making decisions in this wide area, ideas about values and preconceived opinions play a major role. The atmosphere in the society on the whole, as it currently exists with regard to Islam, indeed has a decisive influence on the judgments, according to former Supreme Court Justice, Bertold Sommer.¹²

This agreement on a definition of security shows quite clearly how a far-reaching coordination of the independent executive and judicial branches takes place through a security definition and the constitution of a concept of the enemy, namely the Islamist. Each on their own, the different agencies start to go down the same path without any directive needed. 'We see that the security realm is not so much defined by a power of coercion, as Weber and Hobbes suggest, as by the ability to produce images of the Other who can then be controlled [...]' (Bigo 2000: 93).

The Law on Foreigners as a Weapon: Expulsion

One consequence of the more restrictive naturalisation policy was indeed intentional, keeping Muslim immigrants under the control of the law on foreigners. For example, Representative Grindel, in a Federal Parliament hearing, referred to the initiatives for naturalisation started by the IGMG as early as 1999, 'which limit our possibilities to get rid of some of the ringleaders and thus to stop activities directed against integration' (Representative Grindel, CDU. Deutscher Bundestag, Bandabschrift. Public Hearing on 20 September 2004, p. 66). The Bavarian Interior Minister, Günther Beckstein, according to the Siddeutsche Zeitung of 3 February 2006, declared, 'he is 'firmly convinced' that the security interests of the citizens of Germany can better be served by a strict application of the foreigner law than by the enforcement of criminal law' (p. 6).

The severity of this weapon becomes particularly clear in connection with expulsions and denials of stay permits. Both methods hit the affected hard and permanently in their personal sphere and are often 'worse than criminal sanctions' (Bender 2003: 132). Here, the foreigner law operates as a pseudocriminal law punishing with banishment. This is especially precarious, given that foreigner law, as an administrative law, does not recognize the liberal protection of the accused, which is so highly developed in criminal law (e.g. with regard to presumption of guilt or rules on evidence). Also, the principle of official investigation valid in administrative law is limited and/or suspended inasmuch as the foreigner himself is obliged to provide verifiable evi-

dence for what is most favorable for him (oral information from Heiko Habbe). In short, the burden of proof is reversed.

It is interesting that the debates conducted in 2001 on the second package of security laws took the far-reaching consequences of expulsions and denials of stay permits into account (Bender 2003). The government's proposal for expulsion merely on the grounds of 'actual indications' of anticonstitutional-ism was therefore voted down and a proof of actual anticonstitutional activity required. It is then interesting that administrative practice has, in this respect, not followed the intentions of the lawmakers. As I will show in the following, authorities have also deemed fact-supported indications for a lack of loyalty to the constitution as sufficient for decisions to expel. As applies to naturalisation, you don't even have to be a member of an organisation which is considered dangerous, it's enough to be a member of an expulsion, and this is so, even though the two legal matters are not at all on the same scale.

For example, the expulsion order of the city of Frankfurt against Mr. Özturgut (name changed) on 16 June 2005, was served on the grounds that there was knowledge of activities of his indicating he was an active member and functionary of the IGMG. (There was said to be verifiable evidence that he had provided his mobile phone for a Saturday meeting of the youth organisation of the IGMG district Frankfurt am Main-West, that he was cashier for the umbrella organisation of Hessian IGMG associations, that he had moderated a competition for oral Koran readings, etc.) Since there were indications that efforts against the free and democratic basic order of the Federal Republic of Germany originated from the IGMG, an expulsion had to be ordered (Doc. 7). The fact that at that time a proof of anticonstitutional activity was required for expulsions, unlike the requirements for naturalisation, was simply ignored by the authorities.

As with the naturalisation proceedings, here, too, the impression arises that the system is becoming ever more consistent. The County of Schaumburg, for example, argued on 16 September 2005, that the denial of a stay permit to a woman who, at the time of the decision, had been living in the Federal Republic for 12 years, was 'urgently' necessary because of her activity in the Board of Directors of the IGMG. The foreigners' office claimed that it had to act in accordance with the security authorities and had no 'manoeuvring room'. With this decision, they not only neglected to examine the individual case; the alleged lack of manoeuvring room also categorically dismisses any possibility of weighing legal alternatives and rights. According to the County of Schaumburg, obviously an activity as a functionary in an organisation that has not been forbidden necessarily requires the destruction of the framework of a person's life whose focal point of life has been in Germany for the past 12 years.

¹² On the occasion of a professional talk on 8 March 2006, at the German Institute for Human Rights, 'Recognition and revocation of legal titles'.

In this context, again increasing use is being made of the weapon of retroactive cancellation of a stay permit. Mr. Akkaya (name changed), for example, was notified by the County Administration of Germersheim on 8 February 2006, that his stay permit granted on 16 August 2005, was being rescinded because it had been an 'illegal administrative act'. His activity as chairman of the local IGMG community gave rise to 'security concerns' (Doc. 9). Inasmuch as the foreigners' office here clearly goes beyond the terms of the Verfassungsschutz report, this means an intensification, since constitutional concerns with regard to the IGMG are mentioned in the report, but no security concerns. Aggravating Mr. Akkaya's situation is the fact that he is affected by the rescission of his stay permit despite belonging to the second generation, born and raised in Germany.

With this argumentation, the radicalisation scenario developed by the Internal Security Agencies is put into practice. A further turning of the screw occurred on 20 July 2005, with an expulsion decree of the Mayor of the City of Wiesbaden. During a so-called security interview, the affected party had failed to mention membership in the IGMG when asked about connections with organisations suspected of supporting international terrorism.

'Thus, in the course of questioning serving to clarify concerns about entry or further residency, you made false or incomplete statements to my agency on major points. Thus, you have fulfilled the grounds for expulsion under § 54 Nr. 6 AufenthG, and it is my intention to expel you from the territory of the Federal Republic.'

Here, the criminalisation inherent in the logic of the radicalisation scenario is carried out once and for all. The chain of associations leads from suspicion of anticonstitutionality through accusation of anticonstitutionality, through the supposition of security concerns, all the way to the suspicion of a support of international terrorism. At the same time, anyone who doesn't bow to this logic is accused of making false statements.

There is something undeniably offensive about these decisions. The bureaucrats go beyond the letter of the existing laws, or are expected to do so by superior agencies. They apparently consider themselves in part justified by the concept of a 'democracy that can defend itself'. In addition, there is a certain passion for action in response to public pressure on politicians 'to do something'. This is, of course, directed against groups and individuals who officials, on the basis of *Verfassungsschutz* reports, personally suspect of being anticonstitutional. This selective procedure, contradicting the ideal of state neutrality, does not interfere with their sense of justice, since their decisions can ultimately be reviewed by the courts (just as those who drafted the Baden-Wurttemberg questionnaire were very aware of the fact that it might not be

kept up by the courts). ¹³ Precisely this extremely widespread practice, however, makes a judicial practice which cedes the power of definition to the reports of the Internal Security Agencies especially problematic. For this way, any counterbalance to measures which acquiesce in injustice for the individual on the grounds of what is good for the state, or for political reasons, disappears.

In Islamic circles there are fears that the IGMG and the IGD could be only the first victims of the bureaucratic strategies described. Newspaper reports such as the one about the expulsion of three members of the Quietist (and explicitly pacifist) organisation Tabligh-i-Jamaat by the Bavarian state in September 2004, nourish these fears.

All these cases clearly show the dangers which arise when the foreigners law is employed as a juridical weapon in political debates. It is increasingly turning into a weapon against individuals active in disliked, but not prohibited, organisations. At least in some federal states, they must expect the destruction of their social and professional context of life through expulsion, practical banishment. Increasingly, not deeds, but convictions are being punished.

Surveillance and Control

Muslim organisations had to a remarkable extent already been the object of surveillance by the Internal Security Agencies and police even before 9/11. After 9/11, the intensity of surveillance practice increased. A new method consisted of the *Verdachts- und Ereignisumabhängige Kontrollen* ('checks unrelated to suspicion or event') and raids. There had indeed been raids before (against Caliphate State mosques, for example), but the goals had always been specific. Today, the raids seem to be mainly directed against the mosques of the Milli Görüs and the IGD, but they also include other mosques, without the selection criteria being obvious to outside observers. The majority of raids occurred in Baden-Wurttemberg. I personally know of eight larger operations. The following summary listing, produced on the basis of police reports, reveals a remarkable discrepancy between the mission conducted and the results achieved.

 On 13 December 2002, 750 police officers were involved in a mission in Baden-Wurttemberg which examined over 600 people. It was called a 'raid against criminal Islamists in Stuttgart, Mannheim, and Freiburg'.

^{13 &#}x27;Of course nobody now knows what the courts will say. But let's just stay calm, wait, and not give up in advance.' This can be found in the 'minutes' of talks with naturalisation agencies, p. 15/16 (AZ 5-1012.4/12 Doc. 11).

of the foreigners' law (illegal residency, violation of location restrictions), two forgeries of documents, and one offence against property' (Press release from 16 December, 2002). They discovered 'eight crimes and/or misdemeanors against stipulations

- On 28 November 2003, 380 police officers on a mission in Badeninsults of police officers, and two traffic violations' (Press release from 2 to illegal residency, one violation of the law on asylum procedures, two Wurttemberg checked 360 individuals. They detected 'one violation due December 2003).
- On 6 February 2004, controls took place in Rhineland Palatine to combat able to find one violation of the law on narcotics, four violations due to illegal residency, and two misdemeanors (violations of the restrictions on international terrorism. 270 officers examined 168 individuals. They were localities for asylum seekers)
- (suspicion of illegal residency) were registered On 23 July 2004, a mission was conducted in Rhineland Palatine for a involved. 235 individuals and 102 vehicles were examined. Five crimes 'combat against international terrorism', in which 230 police officers were
- On 23 July 2004, about 400 officers in Baden-Wurttemberg inspected 18 organisation sites and mosques. Four crimes were registered, namely one of weapons law, and one misuse of identity documents (Press release from violation of immigration law, one violation of asylum law, one violation

was that the cost of the measures conducted could not be calculated (Lower relation between the costs of the measures and their results, the laconic reply search success. To the question as to the state government's estimation of the representative Dr. Lennartz (GRÜNE), was unable to document a single

sionally try to play down the raids by comparing them to traffic checks. This manner, as the description of one such mission by the Bochum police shows: is deceptive. These police actions take place in an incomparably more severe

Bochum, 16 April 2004

maybe 20 meters wide and three or four VW police vans were standing there 'Well, no one could leave the mosque or the mosque's courtyard. The driveway is

14 During a discussion with graduates of the Axel Springer Journalist School in Saxon State Parliament – 15th legislative period. Printed document 15/60). The state government of Lower Saxony, in reply to a parliamentary inquiry by Politicians like the CDU Commissioner for Integration, Bosbach, 14 occa-

cers; they belonged to these special troops like for riots on 1 May in Kreuzberg [...] view with Mohammed Nabil Abdulazim, Berlin, 25 October 2004). walkie-talkie, whatever, and they probably compared the data with those of the city and of course these completely puffed-up jackets. Those weren't regular police offihelmets, not on their heads, but attached, billy clubs, but not drawn yet, handcuffs, bumper to bumper so nobody could climb over, and everywhere somebody might three till nine-thirty in the evening. Then they finished up the last ones [...]' (Interof Bochum. Because a couple of computers were down, this took from quarter past dress and if his identity papers were in order. Then they sent it out over radio and checked your identity, checked whether each one was really registered at some ad-You had to line up. Then two of those troops took you to a mobile office where they have been able to get out there were at least two police officers in full gear, with

Waiblingen and Fellbach, 23 July 2004

names and addresses of every Muslim leaving the mosque were taken down. Two prayers, the gates to the industrial area were blocked by about forty or fifty police that another 25 Muslims were held at the police station [...]' kept there for five hours. They were photographed. These two young men reported officers and their police vans. They stopped everyone leaving the mosque [...] The '[...] Our mosque and the DITIB mosque are in an industrial area. After Friday young men who didn't have their IDs with them were taken to the police station and

conversation with Ugur Ataman, 23 July 2004). weren't allowed to give any information; 5) it was a search for drugs' (Minutes of a 2) they were searching for criminals; 3) it was only a normal traffic check; 4) they noon. If you asked, different reasons were given. 1) They were looking for Islamists; back to their jobs on time [...] The controls lasted until four o'clock in the aftermosque [...] Our people panicked. Because of these procedures, they couldn't get information, barricades were set up at a distance of 150 to 200 meters from the 'During the same raid, the mosque in Fellbach [...] was checked. According to our

a mosque at all.' (Interview with Mohammed Nabil Abdulazim) ceived as extremely discriminatory by the faithful. 'They would never dare do category of individuals. These measures were therefore, not surprisingly, percourse, that by definition they affect innocent citizens belonging to a certain that in a church', said one Muslim student. Many viewed the procedures as The general problem with these 'checks unrelated to suspicion or event' is, of completely give up Islam.' 'They want to scare people off so they won't go to being specifically directed against Islam. 'They won't be satisfied until we

attending mosque services. The Waiblinger and Fellbacher Muslims viewed ognition of their mosque community, people find it embarrassing and humiliating to be subjected to controls openly on the street for everybody to see after Particularly in smaller cities, where they had struggled for years for rec-

Berlin on 16 November 2005

themselves as being portrayed as Islamists in the public eye. The report about the action in the local newspaper, the *Rems-Murr-Rundschau* (24 July 2004) bore the headline: 'Search for Criminal Islamists'. 'Once the police are there, whether you're innocent or not, people say the police were at your place.' (Mohammed Nabil Abdulazim op.cit.) The investigations were especially traumatic for individuals who had been subject to political persecution in their homelands. 'They now feared the worst; that just has to well up inside them. And you had to get them to calm down first. There was an old man crying and he didn't want to go outside. He saw the police and turned around and went back in. One man, he is 50 or 60 years old, was just bawling because he was afraid and said, "I haven't done anything, I'm innocent, they shouldn't take me", and such things.' (Mohammed Nabil Abdulazim)

After the raid in Bochum, those attending the mosque were divided into two factions. Some said, 'They don't have anything on us.' And the others said, 'Yeah, they've got lists now of who's comes to the mosque. They organized the whole shebang to get that kind of list together.' This fear exists. The ones with a German citizenship are therefore less worried [...] but everybody here who's a foreigner could be expelled tomorrow. Statements from the community after the raid in Braunschweig on 30 July 2004, are typical: 'Soon they'll give us all a crescent moon sticker like they did with the Jews and the Star of David in the old days.' (Report of an eye-witness) For the people attending mosques of the Islamic Community Milli Görüs, the situation is aggravated by the fact that they must fear substantial disadvantages, for the reasons mentioned earlier, if they are registered during such controls.

Checks without regard to individuals or grounds for suspicion are, mean-while, not the only type of data collection. In Bavaria, for example, communities of the IGMG and the IGD are increasingly being treated as foreign political organisations and required to hand over names and addresses of members as well as information about their citizenship.

How vague the term 'political' is can be seen in the answer to an appeal from the County Administration Office of the state capital of Munich on 20 October 2004. The community was first informed that, as a member of the IGMG, it belonged to an organisation directed against the free and democratic basic order and one which is considered a political organisation. More interesting is the second argument:

'According to its bylaws, the goal of the organisation is the representation of the common interests of Muslim organisations in Bavaria, the protection of the rights of Muslims living in Bavaria, as well as the advocacy of the social, religious, legal, and cultural interests of Islam. In addition, one declared goal of the organisation is to attain state recognition as a religious community and thus to be entitled to state support [...] The representation of these interests in the society as a whole, in its all-

encompassing manner, in fact represents a political activity which, in view of the close link between Islam's religious message and its concept of the state and the lawmaker and public life, can in principle not be separated from the rest' (Doc. 14).

For all practical purposes, this argument contains the claim that Islamic organisations are per se political, and that their activities are thus not protected under the right to freedom of religion. In connection with the denials of naturalisation and expulsion decrees described above, the demands for membership lists appear to be a massive form of interference in the freedom to organize and assemble peaceably. They appear to be primarily motivated by the desire to pressurize unpopular groups, about which the authorities lack sufficient materials for proceedings for prohibition, for as long as it takes to destroy them.

In connection with the genesis of the security realm, then, it is interesting that not only the agencies subordinate to the Interior Ministries, but also the tax offices meanwhile tend to put pressure on Islamic organisations. I am in possession of a letter from the tax office of Rheingau-Taunus which shows that the tax-free charitable status of the local IGMG community was revoked because of the *Verfassungsschutz* reports.

The impression arises that the population does not perceive, and does not want to perceive, either, the intense feeling of insecurity such measures produce among Muslims. Muslim immigrants are confronted with the precarious status that many of them have. They are unashamedly placed under the general suspicion of being enemies of an open society and therefore subjected to special procedures for naturalisation. The foreigners' law is increasingly used as a weapon against them. They suffer restrictions on freedom of religion, of opinion, and of assembly. And finally, they are placed under an irritating extent of surveillance as well as controlled, and registered.

With all this, they are treated as enemies of an open society and lumped together with violent criminals. This leads to Muslims feeling unprotected and homeless. 'Since 9/11, many Muslims are incredibly frightened of ending up on some list or other', says Burhan Kesici to describe the atmosphere. Ever more often in discussions with Muslims, they refer to the fate of the Jews. 'Before 11 September, I had the feeling I was simply supposed to break with Turkey. What do I have to do with Turkey anymore? That country has become foreign to me. In the meantime, I no longer believe it would be a good

⁵ This is no single case. A letter from the Administrative Office of Miltenberg, for example, states, 'In its bylaws, in § 10, the general principles of the organisation's work is formulated. At least those principles formulated in no. 7 and no. 8 prove that the organisation is politically active by taking positions on current socially relevant questions from an Islamic point of view as well as by publishing violations of law against its members in suitable form.' (Doc. 16)

idea for us to burn our bridges to Turkey. Maybe we'll need that country one of these days.' (Minutes from memory of a conversation with Mustafa Yeneroglu)

Unintended Results of Security Policy

produced which permit access to more radical circles. violent, Islam finds a protected space and can recruit. Here, common ideas are more radical forms of Islam possible or even likely. Communities of legalistic which, if appropriate chances and structures are present, makes a transition to Islam are, so to say, regarded as the milieu in which revolutionary, or even context. They may be summarized as follows: In communities of legalistic Isger, the radicalisation scenarios presented must be examined especially in this curity authorities believe that communities of legalistic Islam pose any danachieve what they promise in terms of security policy. Since not even the seway be secured. The question is whether the measures described can at least able, if a larger legal interest, namely prevention of bodily injury, could this sity of the terrorist danger, a balancing of legal interests must be undertaken stages' of revolutionary and/or violent Islam, i.e. with communities defined as lam, socialisation takes place within an 'isolated Islamic view of the world' Restrictions on the freedoms of one group of the population would be accept-'legalistic Islam'. For it can of course be argued that, in view of the immention scenarios, security policy is increasingly concerned with the 'preliminary Because of security authorities' broadened concept of security and radicalisa-

First of all we should note that despite having some points in common in terms of their view of the world, there are radical differences between legalistic Islamists and revolutionary Islamists, related to the overall context. On the one hand, an ethical conviction of ideological purity is to be found which regards any compromise with the West as treason (cf. Schiffauer 2000); on the other hand, a practical politics logic of compromise which insists that a Muslim can engage in the Western system as a Muslim without relinquishing his character, that basically the 'West' [democracy and the legal system] and Islam can be combined. Representatives of the first position will reject all copperation and dialogue; representatives of the second position tend to seek them. While an 'isolated' Islamic image of the world can indeed be assumed in communities of revolutionary Islamism, the legalistic communities are characterized by a clear plurality of opinions. ¹⁶ Just as important is the fact that communities of legalistic Islamism place key terms (such as *jihad* or *Sharia*) in a new overall context and thus 'redefine' them. This way, the fas-

cination with revolutionary Islamism finds a counter position, inasmuch as it is demonstrated that Islam can also be understood differently.

councils), revolutionary communities close themselves off. During my study convictions, and maintain a rhetoric of radical opposition. munities of radical-revolutionary Islam are inimical to the world, have ethical pragmatism, and were therefore in principle ready to compromise, the comcommunities of legalistic Islam confronted the world, acted with political On this basis, quite distinct organisational cultures developed. While the sentment led to the two communities largely avoiding contact with each other. lutionary intolerance) had deviated from Islamic teachings. This mutual reand dangerous nuts, who in essential points (especially in terms of their revofrom the IGMG. They viewed the followers of the Caliphate State as deluded State's distancing itself from the 'compromisers' was met with a response bers of these two communities (in the form of fist fights). The Caliphate fauer 2000: 197). In fact, there were only violent altercations between memings and against whom they should therefore fight the most decidedly (Schiftheir biggest enemies, namely the ones who had deviated from the pure teachcommunities of the Milli Görüs, which were closest to them in their ideas, as tarian circle increasingly caused members of the Caliphate State to regard the something' and formulate an ever more deviant view of the world. This seclargely isolated 'in groups' develop which 'get themselves worked up about ism, and a cult of revolutionary purity increasingly went hand in hand. Here, view of the world contrary to that of other communities, inner authoritariancation from other communities, elitism, the pronounced development of a of the Caliphate State (2000), I detected a circle constitutive of a sect. Demartions, by mutual assistance, or through cooperation in foreigner advisory relations with other Islamic communities (for example, in umbrella organisaties of 'legalistic Islamism' and those of 'radical-revolutionary Islamism' While the former are characterized by an open network structure and maintain Even more important are the sociological differences between communi-

All indications are that there is no continuity between the communities of 'legalistic' and 'radical-revolutionary' Islam, but rather a clear divide. Of course it can never be ruled out that conversions from conservative to revolutionary communities may occur, but this is not a 'natural' step, and it is not even a likely one. Value conservative Islam must not be considered a preliminary stage of radical Islamism, but instead as an alternative to it. The pressure the state exerts in this area affects precisely the conservative Islamic milieu which promises integration of young Muslims. The advantage of a policy that apparently aims at drying out the 'Islamistic swamp' seems to be at least doubtful.

While the advantage of this security policy is doubtful, some very clearly unintended results of the policy may be encountered which have negative

¹⁶ This is also confirmed by the observations of Tietze (2001) and Meng (2004).

rity forces also grows with increasing distance to society. dispute increases the pressure on loyalties. Reluctance to cooperate with secuterms of security policy, this is particularly problematic, since any factional moment, the advocates of the existence an unbridgeable gap between the Christian majority and the Islamic minority are again increasing in number. In world, but experiences with security policy have reversed this process. At the erations of the communities appeared to have overcome this view of the them as islands in a sea of infidels. For some time, the second and third genfaithful of the first generation) in value conservative communities who saw towards German society. There always have been voices (primarily from the Increasing pressure on the communities leads to an increasing distance

tion from the civil society and that it can be the cause of radicalisation. actual) state discrimination often has a more decisive effect than discriminaconfrontation with the police. We know that the experience of (supposed or make an effort to calm down younger ones, to prevent them from seeking actions. It is regularly reported that in raids, older community members must This is aggravated by the experience of public humiliation through police

Acknowledgements

Reinhard Marx, Heiner Bielefeldt and Heiko Habbe. important comments on, this text. Especially to be mentioned are Julia Eckert, Here I would like to thank several people for their critical reading of, and very

References

סטטו בטו סטשטבטוט

- Banton, M.B. (1982): 'Policies for Police-minority Relations'. In: C. Fried Springer, pp. 299-314. (ed.), Minorities: Community and Identity, Berlin and Heidelberg-
- Bender, D. (2003): "Verpolizeilichung" des Ausländerrechts? Die ausländerrechtlichen Maßnahmen des Gesetzgebers nach dem 11. September', Kritische Justiz, 36: 130-45.
- Bigo, D. (2000): 'Liaison Officers in Europe. New Officers in the European ing, London: Routledge, pp 67-99. Security Field'. In: J.W.E. Sheptycki (ed.), Issues in Transnational Polic-
- Eckert, J. (2005): 'The Politics of Security', Working Paper 76, Halle/ Saale: Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology, www.eth.mpg. de
- Meng, F. (2004): Islam(ist)ische Orientierungen und gesellschaftliche Integration in der zweiten Migrantengeneration - Eine Transparenzstudie, Bremen: Akademie für Arbeit und Politik der Universität Bremen.
- Moussaoui, A.S. (2002): Zacarias Moussaoui. Mein Bruder, Zürich: Pendo.
- Puschnerat, T. (2006): 'Zur Bedeutung ideologischer und sozialer Faktoren in München: Luchterhand Verlag, pp. 219-154. sies (ed.), Terrorismus und Extremismus - der Zukunft auf der Spur islamistischen Radikalisierungsprozessen - eine Skizze'. In: U. Kemme-
- Schiffauer, W. (2000): Die Gottesmänner: Türkische Islamisten in Deutsch-Suhrkamp. land. Eine Studie zur Herstellung religiöser Evidenz, Frankfurt am Main:
- 2004, p. 8. (2004): 'Das Recht, anders zu sein', Die Zeit Nr. 48, 18 November
- Tietze, N. (2001): Islamische Identitäten. Formen nuslimischer Religiosität junger Männer in Deutschland und Frankreich, Hamburg: Hamburger Edition HIS

consequences for the struggle against violent and revolutionary Islam. They

This book was printed with the financial support of the Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology Halle/Saale.

Gedruckt mit Unterstützung des Max-Planck-Instituts für ethnologische Forschung in Halle/Saale.

I would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology, especially Franz and Keebet von Benda Beckmann, Gesine Koch and Kathrin Niehuus for the support of this publication.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de

© 2008 transcript Verlag, Bielefeld

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilized in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, inlcuding photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Cover layout: Kordula Röckenhaus, Bielefeld Proofred by: Julia M. Eckert Typeset by: Gesine Koch Printed by: Majuskel Medienproduktion GmbH, Wetzlar

Distributed in North America by:

ISBN 978-3-89942-964-0



Transaction Publishers New Brunswick (U.S.A.) and London (U.K.)

Transaction Publishers Rutgers University 35 Berrue Circle Piscataway, NJ 08854

Tel.: (732) 445-2280 Fax: (732) 445-3138 for orders (U.S. only): toll free 888-999-6778

Notes on Contributors

193

CONTENTS

BERTRAM TURNER	JAN BACHMANN Islamic Activism and Anti-terrorism Legislation in Morocco	TOBIAS KELLY The Danger of 'Undergoverned' Spaces: the 'War on Terror' and its Effects on the Sahel Region	FRANK PETER Documents, Security and Suspicion: the Social Production of Ignorance	WERNER SCHIFFAUER Political Rationalities, Counter-terrorism and Policies on Islam in the United Kingdom and France	THOMAS M. HAWLEY Suspect Subjects: Muslim Migrants and the Security Agencies in Germany	JULIA ECKERT Liberalism versus Terrorism: Warfare, Crime Control, and the United States after 11 September	Laws for Enemies
	163	131	109	79	55	33	7